Case 5:05-cv-04244-RMW Document 26 Filed 02/06/06 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6	PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS (CA SBN 87607) (PPreovolos@mofo.com) ANDREW D. MUHLBACH (CA SBN 175694) (AMuhlbach@mofo.com) HEATHER A. MOSER (CA SBN 212686) (HMoser@mofo.com) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street, 32nd Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522	
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE COMPUTER, INC.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
12		*E-FILED - 2/6/06*
13	In re: Apple iPod nano Litigation	Case No. C-05-04244 RMW
14	This Case is Consolidated With: All Cases.	CLASS ACTION
15		JOINT STIPULATION AND [RROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
16	rai Cases.	DEFENDANŤ APPLE
17		COMPUTER, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS
18		Date: February 17, 2006
19		Time: 9:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom No. 6, 4th Floor The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
20		Date Action Filed: October 25, 2005
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
8		
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANT C-05-04244 RMW sf-2067402	TING MOTION TO STAY

Plaintiffs James M. Wimmer, Jason Moschella, Samuel R. Allred, Hurr Bagri, David Singer, Ben Jennings, Roberto Armando, Islas Durand (collectively "plaintiffs") and defendant Apple Computer, Inc. ("Apple") (collectively the "parties") hereby submit the following stipulation to a stay until further order of this Court following a determination by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation of Apple's pending Motion for Transfer and Consolidation of Apple iPod nano Litigation Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

RECITALS AND STIPULATION

WHEREAS, the following three actions were filed in the Northern District of California against Apple, all of which seek similar relief on behalf of a slightly variant putative classes of iPod nano purchasers: (1) on October 19, 2005, plaintiff Jason Tomczak filed Tomczak v. Apple Computer, Inc., No.C-05-04244-RS, and, on October 25, 2005, plaintiff Tomczak filed a first amended complaint substituting plaintiff James Wimmer, Wimmer v. Apple Computer, Inc., No. C-05-04244 ("Wimmer"); (2) on October 26, 2005, plaintiffs Jason Moschella, Samuel R. Allred, Hurr Baqri, and David Singer filed Moschella v. Apple Computer, Inc., No. C-05-04372-RS ("Moschella"); and (3) on November 4, 2005, plaintiffs Ben Jennings, Roberto Armando, and Islas Durand filed Jennings v. Apple Computer, Inc., No. C-05-04520-JW ("Jennings");

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2006, this Court entered Proposed Case Management Order No. 1, which coordinated Wimmer, Moschella, and Jennings for pretrial purposes (collectively "Apple iPod nano Litigation");

WHEREAS, the following five actions were filed against Apple in district courts around the country, all of which seek similar relief on behalf of a putative classes of iPod nano purchasers: (1) on October 31, 2005, plaintiff Eli Kahan filed a putative class action against Apple in the Southern District of New York entitled, Kahan v. Apple Computer, Inc., No. 05-CV-9251 ("Kahan"); (2) on November 23, 2005, plaintiff Leonard Rappel filed a putative class action against Apple in the District of New Jersey entitled, Rappel v. Apple Computer, Inc., No. 05-5622-SRC ("Rappel"); (3) on December 7, 2005, plaintiff Emily Mayo filed a putative class action against Apple in the Middle District of Louisiana entitled, Mayo v. Apple Computer, Inc., No. 05-CV-1382-JJB-DLD ("Mayo"); (4) on December 22, 2005, plaintiff John Valencia filed a JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSITY] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY C-05-04244 RMW

putative class action against Apple in the Northern District of California entitled, *Valencia v. Apple Computer, Inc.*, No. C-05-05319-HRL ("*Valencia*"); and (5) on December 29, 2005, plaintiff Danny Williamson filed a putative class action against Apple in the Middle District of Louisiana entitled, *Williamson v. Apple Computer, Inc.*, No. 05-CV-1438-RET-CN ("*Williamson*");

WHEREAS, Apple filed a Motion for Transfer and Consolidation of Apple iPod nano Litigation Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 ("Motion to Transfer") with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to request that all pending putative class actions be consolidated and transferred to this Court;

WHEREAS, Apple's unopposed Motion to Transfer is currently pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that a stay of all proceedings in the Apple iPod nano Litigation is warranted until Apple's Motion to Transfer is decided by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties through their respective undersigned counsel of record, subject to the approval of the Court, the following:

- 1. Apple's currently pending Motion to Stay Proceedings shall be withdrawn and the February 17, 2006 hearing taken off calendar; and
- 2. A stay order shall be entered on the Court's docket pending further order of this Court. The Parties agree to immediately notify the Court of the decision of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation with respect to Apple's pending Motion for Transfer and Consolidation of Apple iPod nano Litigation Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

Case 5:05-cv-04244-RMW Document 26 Filed 02/06/06 Page 4 of 6

1	Dated: January, 2006	LEE M. GORDON ELAINE T. BYSZEWSKI
2		HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
3		STEVEN W. BERMAN HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
4		
5		
6		By:Elaine T. Byszewski
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	·	JAMES M. WIMMER, JASON MOSCHELLA, SAMUEL R.
9		ALLRED, HURR BAQRI, DAVID SINGER, BEN JENNINGS,
10		ROBERTO ARMANDO, and ISLAS DURAND
11	Dated: January 25, 2006	PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS
12		ANDREW D. MUHLBACH HEATHER A. MOSER
13		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
14		
15		By: /s/ Andrew D. Muhlbach Andrew D. Muhlbach
16		
17		Attorneys for Defendant APPLE COMPUTER, INC.
18		
19		
20		
Į l		
21		
22		
, 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
!	JOINT STIPULATION AND [NOON SEEN] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY	

JOINT STIPULATION AND [NOON OF SEN] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY C-05-04244 RMW sf-2067402

ORDER

All actions coordinated as the Apple iPod nano Litigation in this Court are stayed until further order of this Court following a determination by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation of Apple's pending Motion for Transfer and Consolidation of Apple iPod nano Litigation Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. The parties shall immediately notify the Court of any decision by the Judicial Panel of Multidistrict Litigation on Apple's pending Motion for Transfer and Consolidation of Apple iPod nano Litigation Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/6/06 // S/ RONALD M. WHYTE

THE HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 5(b)) 2 I declare that I am employed with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, whose address 3 is 425 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-2482; I am not a party to the within cause; I am over the age of eighteen years; and I am readily familiar with Morrison & Foerster's practice 4 for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery and know that in the ordinary course of Morrison & Foerster's business practice the document described below will be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by UPS or delivered to an authorized 5 courier or driver authorized by UPS to receive documents on the same date that it is placed at 6 Morrison & Foerster for collection. 7 I further declare that on the date hereof I served a copy of: 8 JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT APPLE COMPUTER, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS 9 on the following by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with delivery fees provided for, addressed as follows for collection by UPS at Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market 10 Street, San Francisco, California 94105-2482, in accordance with Morrison & Foerster's ordinary 11 business practice. 12 Steven W. Berman Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 13 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 14 I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. 15 Executed at San Francisco, California, this 25th day of January, 2006. 16 17 18 19 Carol J. Peplinski /s/ Carol J. Peplinski (typed) (signature) 20 21 ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE 22 I, Andrew D. Muhlbach, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file 23 this Proof of Service. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B, I hereby attest that Carol J. Peplinski has read and approved this Proof of Service and consents to its filing in this action. 24 Dated: January 25, 2006 25 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 26 27 /s/ Andrew D. Muhlbach Andrew D. Muhlbach 28

Certificate of Service

sf-2053954